

Liechtenstein-Based LGT Bank AG And VP Bank AG Ratings Affirmed On Resolution Strategy Evolution

January 24, 2024

- As resolution scenarios and the regulatory MREL framework for banks in Liechtenstein evolved over 2023, we looked at the impact on our ratings, accounting for specific complexities pertaining to Liechtenstein's private banks.
- We don't expect to add an ALAC uplift to our ratings on LGT Bank AG and VP Bank AG, the two rated systemic banks in Liechtenstein, over the next two years.
- We therefore affirmed our 'A+/A-1' ratings on LGT Bank and 'A-/A-2' ratings on VP Bank.
- The outlooks on both banks remain stable because we don't expect material changes to the banks' financial profiles over the next two years.

FRANKFURT (S&P Global Ratings) Jan. 24, 2024--S&P Global Ratings today said it affirmed its long- and short-term issuer credit ratings of 'A+/A-1' ratings on LGT Bank AG and 'A-/A-2' ratings on VP Bank AG, both based in Liechtenstein. The outlook on both banks is stable.

Rationale

We consider the country's bank resolution framework effective, which generally allows us to include uplift for ALAC in our ratings on individual systemically important banks. In 2023, the Resolution Authority in Liechtenstein finalized the resolution plans for systemically important banks that we rate in Liechtenstein: LGT Bank and VP Bank. We also expect the two banks will take the necessary steps to ensure their resolvability from an operational standpoint.

Following our discussions with resolution authorities and Liechtenstein banks, we gained clarity about the resolution perimeter and associated regulatory requirements. We understand that the Liechtenstein resolution authority does not consider these banking groups' foreign operations systemically relevant and excludes them from the resolution perimeter. As a result, these groups are likely to fragment in a resolution scenario.

In assessing the rating implications for LGT and VP Bank, we looked into the banks' respective resolution strategy and issuance plans for subordinated instruments that represent a potential buffer for senior bondholders. We understand that these banks have to meet the full minimum requirement for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL) by 2026, but both are already above the regulatory requirement given their high capital ratios.

Generally, we could consider an uplift to a rating if a bank accumulated material and sustainable bail-inable capital, resulting in an additional loss-absorbing capacity (ALAC) buffer above certain threshold. For LGT, our adjusted threshold is 4.0% of S&P Global Ratings risk-weighted assets

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYSTS

Heiko Verhaag, CFA, FRM

Frankfurt

+ 49 693 399 9215

heiko.verhaag @spglobal.com

Anna Lozmann

Frankfurt

+ 49 693 399 9166 anna.lozmann @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Michelle Keferstein

Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-104 michelle.keferstein @spglobal.com (RWA); for VP Bank, it is 3.5%. In the unlikely scenario of either bank failing, this buffer could protect the bank's senior unsecured creditors should it become nonviable. However, given the banks' high capital levels (LGT's common equity tier 1 [CET1] ratio was 19.5% and VP Bank's, 23.4% at June 30, 2023), the need to maintain subordinated debt instruments is low, so we don't expect the banks to significantly increase their subordinated debt enough to support ALAC uplift.

LGT Bank AG

We consider LGT systemically important and subject to a resolution process. We forecast an ALAC buffer of about 3.2% of S&P Global Ratings RWA in the next two years, compared with 3.5% at end-2022. It is below our adjusted 4.0% threshold, so we don't apply a ratings uplift for ALAC. We are also mindful on uncertainty to our analyses due to the resolution approach's specifics. The resolution scenario for the LGT Group assumes recapitalization of its operations in Liechtenstein only, leaving aside the foreign group's subsidiaries and the princely portfolio investments.

We adjust our ALAC threshold on LGT for a potential rating uplift by 100 basis points (bps) to 4% due to potential maturity concentrations, given that there are only three senior nonpreferred instruments outstanding. This approach is in line with what we apply to peers with similar ALAC instrument concentrations.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that LGT's revenue streams over the coming 12-24 months will be broadly solid despite current asset-price volatility.

Downside scenario: We could take a negative rating action on LGT if a plunge in asset prices triggered a strong reduction in the value of the princely portfolio and assets under management, weakening LGT's earnings capacity and risk-adjusted capitalization. While it is a more remote prospect, we could consider a downgrade if lower earnings retention or larger acquisitions prevented the bank from maintaining strong capitalization, or if the ongoing reorganization has unexpected negative implications for the business.

Upside scenario: We could consider a positive rating action if LGT accumulated subordinated loss absorbing capacity beyond our expectations, resulting in ALAC sustainably above our adjusted threshold of 4% of S&P Global Ratings RWAs. However, we would then consider an upgrade only if we were confident in the likely effectiveness of the associated resolution strategy and our comprehensive view of LGT was comparable with that of peers at the 'AA-' level--currently only a small cohort of banks globally.

VP Bank AG

We consider VP Bank systemically important and subject to a resolution process, in which we expect the bank would likely be sold. However, we expect the Financial Market Authority to only protect domestic operations, which account for about 90% of group RWAs, while subsidiaries in Switzerland, Luxembourg, or the British Virgin Islands would be liquidated or sold. As such, parts of the banking group might not be recapitalized.

VP Bank's existing Swiss franc 155 million senior subordinated bond is MREL- and ALAC-eligible. However, we understand the bank already meets its subordinated MREL requirement with its very high CET1 ratio of 23.4% as of first-half 2023, so it might not be required to replace the MREL

bond when it matures in 2029.

We adjust our ALAC threshold for rating uplift by 50 bps (net) to 350 bps of S&P Global Ratings RWAs, balancing VP Bank's maturity concentration and equity investments that would likely not be recapitalized. For the maturity concentration, with only one bond maturing in 2029, we increase our threshold 100 bps, but for the adjustment for equity in the banking book, we reduce our adjusted threshold 50 bps.

The existing senior subordinated bond results in an ALAC buffer, based on the resolution perimeters' RWAs, of only about 300 bps over the next few years, significantly below our threshold for rating uplift.

Outlook

The stable outlook on VP Bank reflects our view that, over the next 12-24 months, the bank's very strong capitalization will support its multiyear strategy implementation, even if profitability improves only gradually.

Downside scenario: We could lower the ratings if the bank's strategy implementation experiences material setbacks, for example leading to a loss of clients and net outflows in assets under management, as this would question the stability of its business franchise.

We could also downgrade VP Bank if there are indications of an increasing risk appetite. A sizable acquisition could also constrain the rating should it materially erode the bank's capital buffer, reflected in our risk-adjusted capital ratio dropping below 15%.

Upside scenario: We could consider raising the ratings if we think VP Bank has built a material buffer of bail-inable subordinated capacity above our adjusted threshold of 350 bps, with a strong commitment to maintain this.

While much less likely, we could also consider an upgrade if the bank's Strategy 2026 plan results in sustainable new asset inflows and an increase in fee income. A higher rating would then be supported by improving cost efficiency and profitability compared with that of peers.

Ratings Score Snapshot

	LGT Bank AG	VP Bank AG
Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Stable/A-1	A-/Stable/A-2
SACP	a+	a-
Anchor	a-	a-
Business Position	Strong (+1)	Constrained (-2)
Capital and earnings	Strong (+1)	Very strong (+2)
Risk Position	Adequate (0)	Adequate (0)
Funding and Liquidity	Adequate and adequate(0)	Adequate and adequate (0)
CRA adjustment	0	0
Support	0	0
ALAC support	0	0
GRE Support	0	0

	LGT Bank AG	VP Bank AG	
Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Stable/A-1	A-/Stable/A-2	
Group support	0	0	
Sovereign support	0	0	

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, March 2, 2022
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2021
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Financial Institutions Rating Methodology, Dec. 9, 2021
- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

Related Research

- VP Bank AG, Oct. 9, 2023
- LGT Bank AG, Sept. 13, 2023

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed				
LGT Bank AG				
Issuer Credit Rating	A+/Stable/A-1			
Resolution Counterparty Rating	AA-//A-1+			
LGT Bank AG				
Senior Unsecured	A+			
Senior Subordinated	A			

Ratings Affirmed			
VP Bank AG			
Issuer Credit Rating	A-/Stable/A-2		
Resolution Counterparty Rating	A//A-1		
VP Bank AG			
Senior Unsecured	A-		
Senior Subordinated	BBB+		

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.spglobal.com/ratings for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at $https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceld/504352. \ Complete \ ratings$ information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings. Alternatively, call S&P Global Ratings' Global Client Support line (44) 20-7176-7176.



Copyright © 2024 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.